XML-Relational Mapping

CPS 116 Introduction to Database Systems

Announcements (October 31)

- ❖ Homework #3 due today!
 - Deadline for Problem X1 (only) extended to Thursday
- ❖ Project milestone #2 due next Thursday
 - You should be working with "production" dataset now

Approaches to XML processing

- ❖ Text files (!)
- Specialized XML DBMS
 - Lore (Stanford), Strudel (AT&T), Tamino/QuiP (Software AG), X-Hive, Timber (Michigan), dbXML, ...
 - Still a long way to go
- ❖ Object-oriented DBMS
 - eXcelon (ObjectStore), ozone, ...
 - Not as mature as relational DBMS
- * Relational (and object-relational) DBMS
 - Middleware and/or object-relational extensions

Mapping XML to relational

- Store XML in a CLOB (Character Large OBject) column
 - Simple, compact
 - Full-text indexing can help (often provided by DBMS vendors as object-relational "extensions")
 - Poor integration with relational query processing
 - Updates are expensive
- ❖ Alternatives?
 - Schema-oblivious mapping: well-formed XML → generic relational schema
 - · Node/edge-based mapping for graphs
 - Interval-based mapping for trees
 - · Path-based mapping for trees
 - Schema-aware mapping: valid XML → special relational schema based on DTD

Node/edge-based: schema

- ❖ Element(eid, tag)
- Attribute(eid, attrName, attrValue)
- Key: (eid, attrName)
- Attribute order does not matter
- * ElementChild(eid, pos, child)
- Keys: (eid, pos), (child)
- pos specifies the ordering of children
- child references either Element(eid) or Text(tid)
- * Text(tid, value)
 - tid cannot be the same as any eid
- Text(value) Need indexes for efficiency, e.g., Element(tag), Text(value)

Node/edge-based: example

cbiliography
dook ISBM=ISBM-IO* price=*80.00*>
dook ISBM=ISBM-IO* price=*80.00*>
ctile=Foundations of Databases</til
databases</ti>
// representations of Latabases</til
databases</ti>
// representations of Latabases</ti>
// representations of Latabases
//
databases
//representations

Attribute eid attrName attrValue el ISBN ISBN-10 el price 80

t5 1995

Element

eid tag
e0 bibliography
e1 book
e2 title
e3 author
e4 author
e5 author
e6 publisher
e7 year

	1	e1
e1	1	e2
e1	2	e3
e1		e4
e1	4	e5
e1	5	e6
e1	6	e7
e2	1	t0
e3	1	t1
e4	1	t2
e5	1	t3
e6	1	t4
e7	1	t5
	e1 e1 e1 e2 e3 e4 e5	e1 1 e1 2 e1 3 e1 4 e1 5 e1 6 e2 1 e3 1 e4 1 e5 1 e6 1

ElementChild

eid pos child

Text tid value t0 Foundations of Databases t1 Abitebou1 t2 Hul1 t3 Vianu t4 Addison Wesley

Node/edge-based: more complex paths * //bibliography/book[author="Abiteboul"]/@price • SELECT a.attrValue FROM Element el, ElementChild cl, Element e2, Attribute a WHERE el.tag = 'bibliography' AND el.eid = cl.eid AND cl.child = e2.eid AND e2.tag = 'book' AND EXISTS (SELECT * FROM ElementChild c2, Element e3, ElementChild c3, Text t WHERE e2.eid = c2.eid AND c2.child = e3.eid AND e3.tag = 'author' AND e2.eid = c3.eid AND c3.child = t.tid AND t.value = 'Abiteboul') AND e2.eid = a.eid AND a.attrName = 'price';

Node/edge-based: descendent-or-self * //book//title

-	

Interval-based: schema

- ❖ Element(left, right, level, tag)
 - *left* is the start position of the element
 - right is the end position of the element
 - level is the nesting depth of the element (strictly speaking, unnecessary)
- * Text(<u>left</u>, right, level, value)
- * Attribute(<u>left</u>, <u>attrName</u>, attrValue)

Interval-based: example

1-bibliography>
2-book ISBN="ISBN-10" price="80.00">
3-title-4Foundations of Databases</title>5
6-author>7Abiteboul</author>8
9-author>10hull>/author>11
12-author=134/anus/author>14
15-publisher=16Addison Wesley
/book>21

/book>21

<a hre



- - E1 is the parent of E2 iff:

Interval-based: queries

- ❖ //section/title
 - SELECT e2.left FROM Element e1, Element e2 WHERE e1.tag = 'section' AND e2.tag = 'title' AND el.left < e2.left AND e2.right < e1.right AND el.level = e2.level-1;
 - Path expression becomes "containment" joins!
 - Number of joins is proportional to path expression length
- ❖ //book//title

Summary of interval-based mapping

- * Path expression steps become containment joins
- * No recursion needed for descendent-or-self
- * Comprehensive XQuery-SQL translation is possible

A path-based mapping

Label-path encoding

* Element(pathid, left, right, ...), Path(pathid, path), ...

- path is a label path starting from the root
- Why are *left* and *right* still needed?

Liveritorit							
pathid	left	righ					
1	1	000					

patnia	leJt	right	
1	1	999	
3	2	21	
3	3	5	
4	6	8	
4	9	11	
4	12	14	

Path

pathid	path
1	/bibliography
2	/bibliography/book
3	/bibliography/book/title
4	/bibliography/book/author

Label-path encoding: queries

- Simple path expressions with no conditions //book//title
 - Perform string matching on Path
 - Join qualified pathid's with Element
- Path expression with attached conditions needs to be broken down, processed separately, and joined back //book[publisher='Prentice Hall']/title
 - Evaluate //book/title
 - Evaluate //book/publisher[text()='Prentice Hall']
 - How to ensure title and publisher belong to the same book?

,		
٠	2	

_			
-			

Another path-based mapping

Dewey-order encoding

- Each component of the id represents the order of the child within its parent
 - Unlike label-path, this encoding is "lossless"



Dewey-order encoding: queries

Examples:
 //title

//section/title //book//title

//book[publisher='Prentice Hall']/title

- Works similarly as interval-based mapping
 - Except parent/child and ancestor/descendant relationship are checked by prefix matching
- Serves a different purpose from label-path encoding
- Any advantage over interval-based mapping?

Schema-aware mapping

- ❖ Idea: use DTD to design a better schema
- * Basic approach: elements of the same type go into one table
 - lacksquare Tag name ightarrow table name
 - Attributes \rightarrow columns
 - \bullet If one exists, ID attribute \rightarrow key column; otherwise, need to "invent" a key
 - IDREF attribute \rightarrow foreign key column
 - Children of the element → foreign key columns
 - Ordering of columns encodes ordering of children

<!DOCTYPE bibliography [_.
<!ELEMENT book (title, _)>
<!ATTLIST book ISBN ID #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST book price CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ELEMENT title (#PCDATA)>_
]>

book(<u>ISBN</u>, price, title_id, ...)
title(<u>id</u>, PCDATA_id)
PCDATA(<u>id</u>, value)

Handling * and + in DTD * What if an element can have any number of children? * Example: Book can have multiple authors book(ISBN, price, title_id, author_id, publisher_id, year_id)? * Idea: create another table to track such relationships book(<u>ISBN</u>, price, title_id, publisher_id, year_id) book_author(ISBN, author_id) *A further optimization: merge book_author into author * Need to add position information if ordering is important book_author(<u>ISBN</u>, <u>author_pos</u>, author_id) Inlining ❖ An author element just has a PCDATA child Instead of using foreign keys ■ book author(ISBN, author id) ■ author(id, PCDATA id) ■ PCDATA(id, value) ❖ Why not just "inline" the string value inside book? ■ book author(ISBN, author PCDATA value) ■ PCDATA table no longer stores author values More general inlining * As long as we know the structure of an element and its number of children (and recursively for all children), we can inline this element where it appears <book ISBN="...">... <publisher> <name>...</name><address>...</address> </publisher>... </book> ❖ With no inlining at all ❖ With inlining book(ISBN, publisher_id) book(ISBN, publisher_name_PCDATA value, publisher(id, name id, address id) name(id, PCDATA id) publisher_address_PCDATA_value

address(id, PCDATA_id)

Queries
<pre> book(ISBN, price, title, publisher, year), book_author(ISBN, author), book_section(ISBN, section_id), section(id, title, text), section_section(id, section_pos, section_id) *//title</pre>

Pros and cons of inlining

- ❖ Not always applicable
 - * and +, recursive schema (e.g., section)

Result restructuring

- ❖ Simple results are fine
 - Each tuple returned by SQL gets converted to an element
- Simple grouping is fine (e.g., books with multiple authors)
 - Tuples can be returned by SQL in sorted order; adjacent tuples are grouped into an element
- Complex results are problematic (e.g., books with multiple authors and multiple references)
 - One SQL query returns one table whose columns cannot store sets
 - \blacksquare Option 1: return one table with all combinations of authors and references \rightarrow bad
 - \blacksquare Option 2: return two tables, one with authors and the other with references \to join is done as post processing
 - Option 3: return one table with all author and reference columns; pad with NULL's; order determines grouping \to messy

Comparison of approaches Schema-oblivious Flexible and adaptable; no DTD needed Queries are easy to formulate Translation can be easily automated Queries involve lots of join and are expensive Schema-aware Less flexible and adaptable Need to know DTD to design the relational schema Query formulation requires knowing DTD and schema Queries are more efficient XQuery is tougher to formulate because of result restructuring