

# Markov Chains and MCMC

*CompSci 590.04*

*Instructor: Ashwin Machanavajjhala*

# Announcement

- First assignment has been posted
  - Please work on it in groups of 2 or 3
  - Involves accessing Twitter for information
  - Only allowed a restricted number of API calls to Twitter a day
  - So do not delay the assignment till the last minute.
- Due date: Friday Sep 11, 11:59 pm

# Recap: Monte Carlo Method

- If  $U$  is a universe of items, and  $G$  is a subset satisfying some property, we want to estimate  $|G|$ 
  - Either intractable or inefficient to count exactly

For  $i = 1$  to  $N$

- Choose  $u \in U$ , uniformly at random
- Check whether  $u \in G$ ?
- Let  $X_i = 1$  if  $u \in G$ ,  $X_i = 0$  otherwise

Return  $\hat{C} = |U| \cdot \frac{\sum_i X_i}{N}$

Variance:  $|U| \frac{\mu(1 - \mu)}{\sqrt{N}}$ , where  $\mu = \frac{|G|}{|U|}$

# Recap: Monte Carlo Method

When is this method an FPRAS?

- $|U|$  is known and easy to uniformly sample from  $U$ .
- Easy to check whether sample is in  $G$
- $|U|/|G|$  is small ... (polynomial in the size of the input)

*Theorem:*

$$\forall 0 < \varepsilon < 1.5, 0 < \delta < 1, \text{ if } N > \frac{|U|}{|G|} \cdot \frac{3}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \ln \frac{2}{\delta}$$

$$\text{then, } P[(1 - \varepsilon)|G| \leq \hat{C} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)|G|] \geq 1 - \delta$$

# Recap: Importance Sampling

- In certain case  $|G| \ll |U|$ , hence the number of samples is not small.
- Suppose  $q(x)$  is the density of interest, sample from a different approximate density  $p(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}\int f(x)q(x)dx &= \int f(x) \left( \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) p(x) dx \\ &= E_{p(x)} \left[ f(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \int f(x)q(x)dx \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N f(X_i) \frac{q(X_i)}{p(X_i)},$$

*where  $X_i$  are sampled from  $p(x)$*

# Today's Class

- Markov Chains
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling
  - a.k.a. Metropolis-Hastings Method.
  - Standard technique for probabilistic inference in machine learning, when the probability distribution is hard to compute exactly

# Markov Chains

- Consider a time varying random process which takes the value  $X_t$  at time  $t$ 
  - Values of  $X_t$  are drawn from a finite (more generally countable) set of states  $\Omega$ .
- $\{X_0 \dots X_t \dots X_n\}$  is a *Markov Chain* if the value of  $X_t$  **only depends on**  $X_{t-1}$

# Transition Probabilities

- $\Pr[X_{t+1} = s_j \mid X_t = s_i]$ , denoted by  $P(i,j)$ , is called the transition probability
  - Can be represented as a  $|\Omega| \times |\Omega|$  matrix  $P$ .
  - $P(i,j)$  is the probability that the chain moves from state  $i$  to state  $j$
- Let  $\pi_i(t) = \Pr[X_t = s_i]$  denote the probability of reaching state  $i$  at time  $t$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_j(t) &= \Pr[X_t = s_j] \\ &= \sum_i \Pr[X_t = s_j \mid X_{t-1} = s_i] \Pr[X_{t-1} = s_i] \\ &= \sum_i P(i,j) \cdot \Pr[X_{t-1} = s_i] = \sum_i P(i,j) \pi_i(t-1)\end{aligned}$$



# Transition Probabilities

- $\Pr[X_{t+1} = s_j \mid X_t = s_i]$ , denoted by  $P(i,j)$ , is called the transition probability
  - Can be represented as a  $|\Omega| \times |\Omega|$  matrix  $P$ .
  - $P(i,j)$  is the probability that the chain moves from state  $i$  to state  $j$
- If  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(t)$  denotes the  $1 \times |\Omega|$  vector of probabilities of reaching all the states at time  $t$ ,

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(t) = \boldsymbol{\pi}(t - 1)P$$

# Example

- Suppose  $\Omega = \{\text{Rainy, Sunny, Cloudy}\}$
- Tomorrow's weather only depends on today's weather.
  - Markov process

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Pr[X_{t+1} = \text{Sunny} \mid X_t = \text{Rainy}] = 0.25$$

$$\Pr[X_{t+1} = \text{Sunny} \mid X_t = \text{Sunny}] = 0$$

No 2 consecutive days of sun (Seattle?)

# Example

- Suppose  $\Omega = \{\text{Rainy, Sunny, Cloudy}\}$
- Tomorrow's weather only depends on today's weather.
  - Markov process

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Suppose today is Sunny.**  $\pi(0) = [0 \ 1 \ 0]$
- What is the weather 2 days from now?

$$\pi(2) = \pi(0)P^2 = [0.375 \ 0.25 \ 0.375]$$

# Example

- Suppose  $\Omega = \{\text{Rainy, Sunny, Cloudy}\}$
- Tomorrow's weather only depends on today's weather.
  - Markov process

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Suppose today is Sunny.**  $\pi(0) = [0 \ 1 \ 0]$
- What is the weather 7 days from now?

$$\pi(7) = \pi(0)P^7 = [0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.4]$$

# Example

- Suppose  $\Omega = \{\text{Rainy, Sunny, Cloudy}\}$
- Tomorrow's weather only depends on today's weather.
  - Markov process

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Suppose today is Rainy.**  $\pi(0) = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$
- What is the weather 2 days from now?  
 $\pi(2) = \pi(0)P^2 = [0.4375 \ 0.1875 \ 0.375]$
- Weather 7 days from now?  
 $\pi(7) = \pi(0)P^7 = [0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.4]$

# Example

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\pi(0) = [0 \ 1 \ 0]$$

$$\pi(7) = \pi(0)P^7 = [0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.4]$$

$$\pi(0) = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$$

$$\pi(7) = \pi(0)P^7 = [0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.4]$$

- After sufficient amount of time the expected weather distribution is independent of the starting value.
- Moreover,  $\pi(7) = \pi(8) = \pi(9) = \dots = [0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.4]$
- This is called the **stationary distribution**.

# Stationary Distribution

- $\pi$  is called a *stationary distribution* of the Markov Chain if

$$\pi = \pi P$$

- That is, once the stationary distribution is reached, every subsequent  $X_i$  is a sample from the distribution  $\pi$

## How to use Markov Chains:

- Suppose you want to sample from a set  $|\Omega|$ , according to distribution  $\pi$
- Construct a Markov Chain ( $\mathbf{P}$ ) such that  $\pi$  is the stationary distribution
- *Once stationary distribution is achieved*, we get samples from the correct distribution.

# Conditions for a Stationary Distribution

A Markov chain is **ergodic** if it is:

- **Irreducible:** A state  $j$  can be reached from any state  $i$  in some finite number of steps.


$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.75 \end{bmatrix} \quad \times$$




# Conditions for a Stationary Distribution

A Markov chain is **ergodic** if it is:

- **Irreducible:** A state  $j$  can be reached from any state  $i$  in some finite number of steps.

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.75 \end{bmatrix}$$


- **Aperiodic:** A chain is not forced into cycles of fixed length between certain states

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$


# Conditions for a Stationary Distribution

A Markov chain is **ergodic** if it is:

- **Irreducible:** A state  $j$  can be reached from any state  $i$  in some finite number of steps.
- **Aperiodic:** A chain is not forced into cycles of fixed length between certain states

**Theorem:** For every ergodic Markov chain, there is a unique vector  $\pi$  such that for all initial probability vectors  $\pi(0)$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \boldsymbol{\pi}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \boldsymbol{\pi}(0) \mathbf{P}^t = \boldsymbol{\pi}$$

# Sufficient Condition: Detailed Balance

- In a stationary walk, for any pair of states  $j, k$ , the Markov Chain is as likely to move from  $j$  to  $k$  as from  $k$  to  $j$ .

$$\pi_j P(j, k) = \pi_k P(k, j)$$

- Also called **reversibility condition**.

# Example: Random Walks

- Consider a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , with weights on edges ( $w(e)$ )

Random Walk:

- Start at some node  $u$  in the graph  $G(V, E)$
- Move from node  $u$  to node  $v$  with probability proportional to  $w(u, v)$ .

Random walk is a Markov chain

- State space =  $V$
- $P(u, v) = w(u, v) / \sum w(u, v')$  if  $(u, v) \in E$   
= 0 if  $(u, v)$  is not in  $E$

# Example: Random Walk

Random walk is ergodic if:

- **Irreducible:** A state  $j$  can be reached from any state  $i$  in some finite number of steps.

If  $G$  is connected.

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.75 \end{bmatrix}$$



- **Aperiodic:** A chain is not forced into cycles of fixed length between certain states

If  $G$  is not bipartite

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



# Example: Random Walk

Uniform random walk:

- Suppose all weights on the graph are 1
- $P(u,v) = 1/\text{deg}(u)$  (or 0)

Theorem: If  $G$  is connected and not bipartite, then the stationary distribution of the random walk is

$$\pi_u = \frac{\text{deg}(u)}{2|E|}$$

# Example: Random Walk

Symmetric random walk:

- Suppose  $P(u,v) = P(v,u)$

Theorem: If  $G$  is connected and not bipartite, then the stationary distribution of the random walk is

$$\pi_u = 1/|V|$$

# Stationary Distribution

- $\pi$  is called a *stationary distribution* of the Markov Chain if

$$\pi = \pi P$$

- That is, once the stationary distribution is reached, every subsequent  $X_i$  is a sample from the distribution  $\pi$

## How to use Markov Chains:

- Suppose you want to sample from a set  $|\Omega|$ , according to distribution  $\pi$
- **Construct a Markov Chain ( $P$ ) such that  $\pi$  is the stationary distribution**
- ***Once stationary distribution is achieved***, we get samples from the correct distribution.



# Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm (MCMC)

- Suppose we want to sample from a complex distribution  $f(x) = p(x) / K$ , where  $K$  is unknown or hard to compute
- Example: Bayesian Inference

# Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm

- Start with any initial value  $x_0$ , such that  $p(x_0) > 0$
- Using current value  $x_{t-1}$ , sample a new point according some **proposal distribution**  $q(x_t | x_{t-1})$
- Compute  $\alpha(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \min\left(1, \frac{p(x_t)}{p(x_{t-1})} \frac{q(x_{t-1}|x_t)}{q(x_t|x_{t-1})}\right)$
- With probability  $\alpha$  accept the move to  $x_t$ , otherwise reject  $x_t$

# Why does Metropolis-Hastings work?

- Metropolis-Hastings describes a Markov chain with transition probabilities:

$$P(x, y) = q(y | x) \min \left( 1, \frac{p(y) q(x|y)}{p(x) q(y|x)} \right)$$

- We want to show that  $f(x) = p(x)/K$  is the stationary distribution
- Recall sufficient condition for stationary distribution:

$$\pi_j P(j, k) = \pi_k P(k, j)$$

# Why does Metropolis-Hastings work?

- Metropolis-Hastings describes a Markov chain with transition probabilities:

$$P(x, y) = q(y | x) \min \left( 1, \frac{p(y) q(x|y)}{p(x) q(y|x)} \right)$$

- Sufficient to show:  $p(x)P(x, y) = p(y)P(y, x)$

# Proof: Case 1

$$P(x, y) = q(y | x) \min \left( 1, \frac{p(y) q(x|y)}{p(x) q(y|x)} \right)$$

- Suppose  $p(y)q(x|y) = p(x) q(y|x)$
- Then,  $P(x, y) = q(y | x)$
- Therefore  
 $P(x, y)p(x) = q(y | x) p(x) = p(y) q(x | y) = P(y, x) p(y)$

## Proof: Case 2

$$P(x, y) = q(y|x) \min\left(1, \frac{p(y)q(x|y)}{p(x)q(y|x)}\right)$$

Suppose,  $p(y)q(x|y) > p(x)q(y|x)$

Then,  $\alpha(y|x) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(x|y) = \frac{p(x)q(y|x)}{p(y)q(x|y)}$

$$\begin{aligned} P(y, x)p(y) &= q(x|y)\alpha(x|y)p(y) \\ &= q(x|y)\frac{p(x)q(y|x)}{p(y)q(x|y)}p(y) = p(x)q(y|x) \\ &= p(x)q(y|x)\alpha(y|x) = p(x)P(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

- Proof of Case 3 is identical.

# When is stationary distribution reached?

- Next class ...