

Lecture 4: Dynamic Programming I

*Lecturer: Rong Ge**Scribe: Will Long*

4.1 Overview

Dynamic programming is a method that follows a similar theme to other techniques learned this semester: In order to solve a large, complicated problem, we first split it into smaller sub-problems. With dynamic programming, the basic idea is to break the problem down into many closely related sub-problems, solve them, and then store their results for later use. In this way, dynamic programming avoids recomputing the results of the sub-problems, allowing it to achieve better runtimes than naive approaches. In this lecture, we will demonstrate the technique through two examples: the longest increasing subsequence problem and the knapsack problem.

4.2 Longest Increasing Subsequence

Definition 4.1 *Given an input array A , a subsequence is a list of numbers that appears in the same order as the elements of A , though not necessarily consecutively. A subsequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k is increasing if for all $1 \leq i < k$, $x_i < x_{i+1}$. The longest increasing subsequence of A is then the increasing subsequence in A with maximal length.*

For instance, consider the array $\{4, 2, 5, 3, 9, 7, 8, 10, 6\}$. An example of a subsequence is $\{4, 2, 5\}$, an example of an increasing subsequence is $\{2, 3, 8\}$, and the longest increasing subsequence is $\{2, 5, 7, 8, 10\}$ (or $\{2, 3, 7, 8, 10\}$).

In this example, we will try to find the length of the longest increasing subsequence of the following array:

$$A = \{4, 2, 3, 5, 1, 7, 10, 8\}$$

The first step in creating a dynamic programming solution is to relate the problem recursively to smaller sub-problems. We will therefore begin by focusing on just the last element of this sequence, 8. We then have two options to consider for this element:

Option 1: 8 is not in the longest increasing subsequence.

Option 2: 8 is in the longest increasing subsequence.

Dealing with option 1 is easy. We just recurse on all of the other elements in A , i.e. $\{4, 2, \dots, 10\}$. Option 2 is trickier to deal with. To see why, consider that in this example, the LIS of $\{4, 2, 3, 5, 1, 7, 10\}$ is $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 10\}$. $10 > 8$ so we clearly cannot add 8 to the end of this sequence. Our goal then, should be to find a transition function that properly relates the solution for this sub-problem to that of other sub-problems.

To this end, we will define $a[i]$ to be the length of the longest increasing subsequence of A that *ends* at the i th element of A . We can determine the value of $a[i]$ in the following way. Consider all of the $i - 1$ elements in A both previous to $A[i]$ and smaller than it, i.e. $\{j \in [1, i - 1] \mid A[i] > A[j]\}$. These are the elements that $A[i]$ could be appended to in an increasing subsequence. Choose the $a[j]$ with maximal value, and set $a[i] = a[j] + 1$ (effectively adding element $A[i]$ to the end of the longest increasing subsequence possible). So we have:

$$a[i] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[i] < A[j] \forall j < i \\ 1 + \max_{j < i, A[j] < A[i]} a[j] & \end{cases}$$

$a[i]$ depends on all of the elements before it, so when we create our dynamic programming table, we will start at $a[1]$ and then progressively fill it in from left to right. Once we've determined values for all $a[i]$, we just select the one with the maximum value, and the algorithm is complete.

Algorithm 1 Dynamic programming method for LIS

Require: A is an array of length n .

Ensure: LIS is the length of the longest increasing subsequence of A .

procedure LONGESTINCREASINGSUBSEQUENCE(A)

$LIS = 0$

for i in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ **do**

$a[i] = 1$

for j in $\{1, 2, \dots, i - 1\}$ **do**

if $A[j] < A[i]$ and $a[j] + 1 > a[i]$ **then**

$a[i] = a[j] + 1$

end if

end for

if $a[i] > LIS$ **then**

$LIS = a[i]$

end if

end for

return LIS

end procedure

4.3 Knapsack

The knapsack problem is stated as follows. There is a knapsack that can hold items of total weight at most W . There is also a set I of n items available. Each item $i \in I$ has an associated weight w_i and value v_i . The goal is to select a subset of the items to place in the knapsack, so that the total weight is less than W and the total value is maximized. Stated in another way, we wish to choose the subset $K \subseteq I$ that maximizes $\sum_{i \in K} v_i$, subject to $\sum_{i \in K} w_i \leq W$.

As before, we will begin by breaking the problem down into smaller sub-problems. We look at the last item, and consider two possible options:

Option 1: The last item is not in the knapsack.

Option 2: The last item is in the knapsack.

To compare these two options, we will define $a[i, j]$ to be the maximum total value that can be obtained from using only the first i items, with a weight capacity of j . We see that if we choose option 1, and do not add item i to the knapsack, we can just maximize value over the remaining $i - 1$ items, i.e. $a[i, j] = a[i - 1, j]$. If we choose option 2, we add value v_i to the knapsack, and then maximize value over the remaining $i - 1$ items, keeping in mind that the capacity must also be decreased by weight w_i , i.e. $a[i, j] = v_i + a[i - 1, j - w_i]$. We will choose the option that provides maximal value, so we have:

$$a[i, j] = \max \begin{cases} a[i - 1, j] & \text{(do not put item } i \text{ in knapsack)} \\ v_i + a[i - 1, j - w_i] & \text{(put item } i \text{ in knapsack)} \end{cases}$$

We must also define base cases, namely whenever $i = 0$, or $j \leq 0$, $a[i, j] = 0$ (because we can't add items if we have no items left or if the capacity is spent). To construct the dynamic programming table, we make a two-dimensional table, with i on the horizontal axis going from 1 to n , and j on the vertical axis going from 1 to W . We then fill in the table, starting at $a[1, 1]$ and filling in each row from left to right. Once we have completely filled in the table, our answer will be the value $a[n, W]$.

Algorithm 2 Dynamic programming method for knapsack problem

Require: I contains n items. Each $i \in I$ has a weight w_i and a value v_i . W is maximum capacity.

Ensure: $a[n, W]$ is the maximum possible value we can place into knapsack.

```

procedure KNAPSACK( $I, W$ )
  for  $i$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  do
    for  $j$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, W\}$  do
      optionOne =  $a[i - 1, j]$ 
      optionTwo =  $v_i + a[i - 1, j - w_i]$ 
       $a[i, j] = \max\{\text{optionOne}, \text{optionTwo}\}$ 
    end for
  end for
end procedure

```
