# CompSci334 - Mathematical Foundations of CS

Dr. S. Rodger

Section: Transforming Grammars (Ch. 6) (handout)

### Methods for Transforming Grammars (Read Ch 6 in Linz Book)

We will consider CFL without  $\lambda$ . It would be easy to add  $\lambda$  to any grammar by adding a new start symbol  $S_0$ ,

$$S_0 \to S \mid \lambda$$

Theorem (Substitution) Let G be a CFG. Suppose G contains

$$A \rightarrow x_1 B x_2$$

where A and B are different variables, and B has the productions

$$B \to y_1 | y_2 | \dots | y_n$$

Then can construct G' from G by deleting

$$A \to x_1 B x_2$$

from P and adding to it

$$A \to x_1 y_1 x_2 |x_1 y_2 x_2| \dots |x_1 y_n x_2|$$

Then, L(G)=L(G').

### Example:

$$S \rightarrow aBa$$
 becomes  $B \rightarrow aS \mid a$ 

**Definition:** A production of the form  $A \to Ax$ ,  $A \in V$ ,  $x \in (V \cup T)^*$  is *left recursive*.

**Example** Previous expression grammar was left recursive.

$$\begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow E + T \mid T \\ T \rightarrow T * F \mid F \\ F \rightarrow I \mid (E) \\ I \rightarrow a \mid b \end{array}$$

A top-down parser would want to derive the leftmost terminal as soon as possible. But in the left recursive grammar above, in order to derive a sentential form that has the leftmost terminal, we have to derive a sentential form that has other terminals in it.

Derivation of a+b+a+a is:

$$E \Rightarrow E+T \Rightarrow E+T+T \Rightarrow E+T+T+T \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a+T+T+T$$

We will eliminate the left recursion so that we can derive a sentential form with the leftmost terminal and no other terminals.

**Theorem** (Removing Left recursion) Let G=(V,T,S,P) be a CFG. Divide productions for variable A into left-recursive and non left-recursive productions:

$$A \rightarrow Ax_1 \mid Ax_2 \mid \dots \mid Ax_n$$
  
 $A \rightarrow y_1 \mid y_2 \mid \dots \mid y_m$ 

where  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  are in  $(V \cup T)^*$ .

Then  $G'=(V\cup \{Z\}, T, S, P')$  and P' replaces rules of form above by

$$A \rightarrow y_i | y_i Z, i=1,2,...,m$$
  
 $Z \rightarrow x_i | x_i Z, i=1,2,...,n$ 

### Example:

$$E \to E+T|T$$
 becomes

$$T \to T*F|F$$
 becomes

Now, Derivation of a+b+a+a is:

# Useless productions

$$S \to aB \mid bA$$

$$A \rightarrow aA$$

$$\mathrm{B} \to \mathrm{Sa}$$

$$C \rightarrow cBc \mid a$$

What can you say about this grammar?

**Theorem** (useless productions) Let G be a CFG. Then  $\exists$  G' that does not contain any useless variables or productions s.t. L(G)=L(G').

#### To Remove Useless Productions:

Let 
$$G=(V,T,S,P)$$
.

- I. Compute  $V_1 = \{ \text{Variables that can derive strings of terminals} \}$ 
  - 1.  $V_1 = \emptyset$
  - 2. Repeat until no more variables added
    - For every A  $\in$  V with A  $\rightarrow x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ ,  $x_i \in$  (T\*  $\cup$  V<sub>1</sub>), add A to V<sub>1</sub>
  - 3.  $P_1 = \text{all productions in } P \text{ with symbols in } (V_1 \cup T)^*$

Then  $G_1=(V_1,T,S,P_1)$  has no variables that can't derive strings.

II. Draw Variable Dependency Graph

For 
$$A \to xBy$$
, draw  $A \to B$ .

Remove productions for V if there is no path from S to V in the dependency graph. Resulting Grammar G' is s.t. L(G)=L(G') and G' has no useless productions.

# Example:

$$S \to aB \mid bA$$

$$A \to aA$$

$$B \to Sa \mid b$$

$$C \rightarrow cBc \mid a$$

$$\mathrm{D} \to \mathrm{bCb}$$

$$E \rightarrow Aa \mid b$$

**Theorem** (remove  $\lambda$  productions) Let G be a CFG with  $\lambda$  not in L(G). Then  $\exists$  a CFG G' having no  $\lambda$ -productions s.t. L(G)=L(G').

# To Remove $\lambda$ -productions

- 1. Let  $V_n = \{A \mid \exists \text{ production } A \rightarrow \lambda \}$
- 2. Repeat until no more additions
  - if  $B \rightarrow A_1 A_2 \dots A_m$  and  $A_i \in V_n$  for all i, then put B in  $V_n$
- 3. Construct G' with productions P' s.t.
  - If  $A \to x_1 x_2 \dots x_m \in P$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , then put all productions formed when  $x_j$  is replaced by  $\lambda$  (for all  $x_j \in V_n$ ) s.t.  $|\text{rhs}| \ge 1$  into P'.

# Example:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S} &\to \mathbf{Ab} \\ \mathbf{A} &\to \mathbf{BCB} \mid \mathbf{Aa} \\ \mathbf{B} &\to \mathbf{b} \mid \lambda \\ \mathbf{C} &\to \mathbf{cC} \mid \lambda \end{split}$$

#### **Definition** Unit Production

$$\mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$$

where  $A,B \in V$ .

### Consider removing unit productions:

Suppose we have

$$A \rightarrow B$$
 becomes  $B \rightarrow a \mid ab$ 

But what if we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} A \to B & \text{becomes} \\ B \to C & \end{array}$$

$$C \to A$$

**Theorem** (Remove unit productions) Let G=(V,T,S,P) be a CFG without  $\lambda$ -productions. Then  $\exists$  CFG G'=(V',T',S,P') that does not have any unit-productions and L(G)=L(G').

#### To Remove Unit Productions:

- 1. Find for each A, all B s.t. A  $\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}$ B (Draw a dependency graph)
- 2. Construct G'=(V',T',S,P') by
  - (a) Put all non-unit productions in P'
  - (b) For all  $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} B$  s.t.  $B \rightarrow y_1 | y_2 | \dots y_n \in P'$ , put  $A \rightarrow y_1 | y_2 | \dots y_n \in P'$

# Example:

 $S \to AB$ 

 $A \to B$ 

 $B \to C \mid Bb$ 

 $C \rightarrow A \mid c \mid Da$ 

 $\mathrm{D} \to \mathrm{A}$ 

**Theorem** Let L be a CFL that does not contain  $\lambda$ . Then  $\exists$  a CFG for L that does not have any useless productions,  $\lambda$ -productions, or unit-productions.

### Proof

- 1. Remove  $\lambda$ -productions
- 2. Remove unit-productions
- 3. Remove useless productions

Note order is very important. Removing  $\lambda$ -productions can create unit-productions! QED.

**Definition:** A CFG is in Chomsky Normal Form (CNF) if all productions are of the form

$$A \to BC$$
 or  $A \to a$ 

where  $A,B,C \in V$  and  $a \in T$ .

**Theorem:** Any CFG G with  $\lambda$  not in L(G) has an equivalent grammar in CNF.

# **Proof:**

1. Remove  $\lambda$ -productions, unit productions, and useless productions.

2. For every rhs of length > 1, replace each terminal  $x_i$  by a new variable  $C_j$  and add the production  $C_j \to x_i$ .

3. Replace every rhs of length > 2 by a series of productions, each with rhs of length 2. QED.

# Example:

$$S \to CBcd$$

$$\begin{array}{l} {\rm B} \rightarrow {\rm b} \\ {\rm C} \rightarrow {\rm Cc} \mid {\rm e} \end{array}$$

**Definition:** A CFG is in Greibach normal form (GNF) if all productions have the form

$$A \rightarrow ax$$

where  $a \in T$  and  $x \in V^*$ 

**Theorem** For every CFG G with  $\lambda$  not in L(G),  $\exists$  a grammar in GNF.

### **Proof:**

- 1. Rewrite grammar in CNF.
- 2. Relabel Variables  $A_1, A_2, \ldots A_n$
- 3. Eliminate left recursion and use substitution to get all productions into the form:

$$\begin{array}{l} A_i \rightarrow A_j x_j, \ j > i \\ Z_i \rightarrow A_j x_j, \ j \leq n \\ A_i \rightarrow \mathbf{a} x_i \end{array}$$

where  $a \in T$ ,  $x_i \in V^*$ , and  $Z_i$  are new variables introduced for left recursion.

4. All productions with  $A_n$  are in the correct form,  $A_n \to ax_n$ . Use these productions as substitutions to get  $A_{n-1}$  productions in the correct form. Repeat with  $A_{n-2}$ ,  $A_{n-3}$ , etc until all productions are in the correct form.