XML-Relational Mapping

CPS 216 Advanced Database Systems

Announcements (March 29)

- Homework #3 assigned today
 - Due in two weeks (April 12)
- * Reading assignment due this Wednesday
 - Two VLDB papers on native XML databases
- ❖ Course project milestone 2 due this Thursday

Approaches to XML processing

- ❖ Text files (!)
- Specialized XML DBMS
 - Lore (Stanford), Strudel (AT&T), Tamino/QuiP (Software AG), X-Hive, Timber (Michigan), etc.
 - Still a long way to go
- ❖ Object-oriented DBMS
 - eXcelon (ObjectStore), ozone, etc.
 - Not as mature as relational DBMS
- * Relational (and object-relational) DBMS
 - Middleware and/or object-relational extensions

Mapping XML to relational

- * Store XML in a CLOB (Character Large OBject) column
 - Simple, compact
 - Full-text indexing can help (often provided by DBMS vendors as object-relational "extensions")
 - · Poor integration with relational query processing
 - Updates are expensive
- ❖ Alternatives?
 - Schema-oblivious mapping: well-formed XML → generic relational schema
 - Node/edge-based mapping for graphs
 - Interval-based mapping for trees
 - Path-based mapping for trees
 - Schema-aware mapping: valid XML → special relational schema based on DTD

Node/edge-based: schema

- ❖ Element(eid, tag)
- ❖ Attribute(eid, attrName, attrValue)

Key: (eid, attrName)

- Attribute order does not matter
- * ElementChild(eid, pos, child)

Keys: (eid, pos), (child)

- pos specifies the ordering of children
- child references either Element(eid) or Text(tid)
- * Text(tid, value)
 - tid cannot be the same as any eid
- Meed indexes for efficiency, e.g., Element(tag), Text(value)

Node/edge-based: example Element **ElementChild** eid tag eid pos child e0 bibliography e0 1 el book e2 title <publisher>Addison Wesley</publisher> <year>1995</year> e1 author e3 author e5 author e6 publisher e1 6 Attribute eid attrName attrValue e7 year el ISBN el price ISBN-10 e3 80 e4 Text tid value t4 tO Foundations of Databases tl Abiteboul t2 Hull t3 Vianu Addison Wesley t5 1995

Node/edge-based: simple paths

```
*//title
    * SELECT eid FROM Element WHERE tag = 'title';

*//section/title
    * SELECT e2.eid
    FROM Element e1, ElementChild c, Element e2
WHERE e1.tag = 'section'
    AND e2.tag = 'title'
    AND e1.eid = c.eid
    AND c.child = e2.eid;
```

- Path expression becomes joins!
 - Number of joins is proportional to the length of the path expression

Node/edge-based: more complex paths

Node/edge-based: descendent-or-self

- ❖ //book//title
 - Requires SQL3 recursion
 - WITH ReachableFromBook(id) AS
 ((SELECT eid FROM Element WHERE tag = 'book')
 UNION ALL
 (SELECT c.child
 FROM ReachableFromBook r, ElementChild c
 WHERE r.eid = c.eid))
 SELECT eid
 FROM Element
 WHERE eid IN (SELECT * FROM ReachableFromBook)
 AND tag = 'title';

Interval-based: schema

- Element(left, right, level, tag)
 - left is the start position of the element
 - right is the end position of the element
 - level is the nesting depth of the element (strictly speaking, unnecessary)
 - Kev is left
- Attribute(<u>left</u>, <u>attrName</u>, attrValue)
- * Text(<u>left</u>, level, value)
- ☞ Where did ElementChild go?
 - E1 is the parent of E2 iff:
 [E1.left, E1.right] ⊃ [E2.left, E2.right], and
 E1 level = E2.level − 1

```
Interval-based: example

1-bibliography
2-book ISBN="ISBN-10" price="80.00">
3-title=Afoundations of Databases</title>5
6-author=7-Nat lebuol</a>
9-author=10Hull=/author=11
12-author=13V1aura/author=14
13-publisher=16hddison Wesley</publisher=17
18-year=191995-year=20
</p>
//book=21.

//bibliography=999

title author author publisher year
3,5,3 6,8,3 9,11,3 12,14,3 15,17,3 18,20,3
```

Interval-based: queries *//section/title

■ SELECT e2.left FROM Element e1, Element e2 WHERE e1.tag = 'section' AND e2.tag = 'title' AND e1.left < e2.left AND e2.right < e1.right AND e1.level = e2.level-1;

Path expression becomes "containment" joins!

• Number of joins is proportional to path expression length

❖ //book//title

■ SELECT e2.left
FROM Element e1, Element e2
WHERE e1.tag = 'book' AND e2.tag = 'section'
AND e1.left < e2.left AND e2.right < e1.right;

[™]No recursion!

Summary of interval-based mapping

- * Path expression steps become containment joins
- * No recursion needed for descendent-or-self
- ❖ Comprehensive XQuery-SQL translation is possible with "dynamic interval encoding"
 - DeHaan et al. SIGMOD 2003
 - Looks hairy, but with some special tweaks to the relational engine, it actually performs better than many of the currently available native XQuery products!
 - Set-oriented processing helps!

A path-based mapping

Label-path encoding

- * Element(pathid, left, right, value), Path(pathid, path)
 - path is a label path starting from the root
 - Why are *left* and *right* still needed? To preserve structure

Element			
pathid	left	right	
1	1	999	
2	2	21	
3	3	5	
4	6	8	
4	9	11	
4	12	14	

Path pathid	path
1	/bibliography
2	/bibliography/book
3	/bibliography/book/title
4	/bibliography/book/author

Label-path encoding: queries

- Simple path expressions with no conditions //book//title
 - Perform string matching on Path
 - Join qualified pathid's with Element
- * Path expression with attached conditions needs to be broken down, processed separately, and joined back //book[publisher='Prentice Hall']/title
 - Evaluate //book/title
 - Evaluate //book/publisher[text()='Prentice Hall']
 - Join to ensure title and publisher belong to the same book
 - How?

Another path-based mapping

Dewey-order encoding

- ❖ Each component of the id represents the order of the child within its parent
 - Unlike label-path, this encoding is "lossless"



Dewey-order encoding: queries

Examples:

//title

//section/title

//book//title

//book[publisher='Prentice Hall']/title

- Works similarly as interval-based mapping
 - Except parent/child and ancestor/descendant relationship are checked by prefix matching
- Serves a different purpose from label-path encoding
- Any advantage over interval-based mapping?

Schema-aware mapping

- ❖ Idea: use DTD to design a better schema
- * Basic approach: elements of the same type go into one table
 - Tag name → table name
 - Attributes → columns
 - ullet If one exists, ID attribute \to key column; otherwise, need to "invent" a key
 - IDREF attribute → foreign key column
 - Children of the element → foreign key columns
 - · Ordering of columns encodes ordering of children

<!DOCTYPE bibliography [_.
<!ELEMENT book (title, _,)>
<!ATTLIST book ISBN ID #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST book price CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ELEMENT title (#PCDATA)>...
]>

book(<u>ISBN</u>, price, title_id, ...) title(id, PCDATA id) PCDATA(id, value)

Handling * and + in DTD

- ❖ What if an element can have any number of children?
- Example: Book can have multiple authors
- book(ISBN, price, title_id, author_id, publisher_id, year_id)?
- ❖ Idea: create another table to track such relationships
 - book(ISBN, price, title id, publisher id, year id)
 - book author(ISBN, author id)
 - *BCNF decomposition in action!
 - TA further optimization: merge book author into author
- ❖ Need to add position information if ordering is important
 - book_author(<u>ISBN</u>, <u>author_pos</u>, author_id)

Inlining

- An author element just has a PCDATA child
- Instead of using foreign keys
 - book author(ISBN, author id)
 - author(id, PCDATA id)
 - PCDATA(id, value)
- ❖ Why not just "inline" the string value inside book?
 - book author(ISBN, author PCDATA value)
 - PCDATA table no longer stores author values

More general inlining

* As long as we know the structure of an element and its number of children (and recursively for all children), we can inline this element where it appears

<book ISBN="...">... <publisher> <name>...</name><address>...</address> </publisher>...

❖ With no inlining at all With inlining

book(ISBN, publisher id) book(ISBN, publisher(id, name_id, address_id) publisher_name_PCDATA_value, publisher_address_PCDATA_value) name(id, PCDATA id) address(id, PCDATA id)

Queries

 book(<u>ISBN</u>, price, title, publisher, year), book author(ISBN, author), book section(ISBN, section id), section(id, title, text), section section(id, section pos, section id)

(SELECT title FROM book) UNION ALL (SELECT title FROM section);

These queries only work //section/title for the given DTD

 SELECT title FROM section; //bibliography/book[author="Abiteboul"]/@price

SELECT price FROM book, book author

WHERE book.ISBN = book_author.ISBN AND author = 'Abiteboul';

* //book//title

 (SELECT title FROM book) UNION ALL (SELECT title FROM section)

Pros and cons of inlining

- ❖ Not always applicable
 - * and +, recursive schema (e.g., section)
- Fewer joins
- ❖ More "scattering" (e.g., there is no longer any table containing all titles; author information is scattered across book, section, etc.)
 - Heuristic: do not inline elements that can be shared

Result restructuring

- * Simple results are fine
 - · Each tuple returned by SQL gets converted to an element
- Simple grouping is fine (e.g., books with multiple authors)
 - Tuples can be returned by SQL in sorted order; adjacent tuples are grouped into an element
- ❖ Complex results are problematic: one SQL query only returns a single table; columns cannot contains sets or
 - E.g., books with multiple authors and multiple references
 - Option 1: one table with all combo of authors/references → bad
 - Option 2: two tables, one w/ authors and the other w/ references → join is done as post processing
 - · Option 3: sorted "union" of NULL-padded authors and references

Comparison of approaches

- ❖ Schema-oblivious
 - Flexible and adaptable; no DTD needed
 - Queries are easy to formulate
 - Translation from Xpath/XQuery can be easily automated
 - Queries involve lots of join and are expensive
- ❖ Schema-aware
 - Less flexible and adaptable
 - Need to know DTD to design the relational schema
 - Query formulation requires knowing DTD and schema
 - · Queries are more efficient
 - XQuery is tougher to formulate because of result restructuring