CompSci 102 **Discrete Math for Computer Science**



February 7, 2012

Prof. Rodger

Chap. 3.1 Algorithms

Definition: An *algorithm* is a finite set of precise instructions for performing a computation or for solving a problem.

Example: Describe an algorithm for finding the maximum value in a finite sequence of integers.

Solution: Perform the following steps:

- 1. Set the temporary maximum equal to the first integer in the sequence.
- 2. Compare the next integer in the sequence to the temporary maximum.
 - If it is larger than the temporary maximum, set the temporary maximum equal to this integer.
- 3. Repeat the previous step if there are more integers. If not, stop.
- 4. When the algorithm terminates, the temporary maximum is the largest integer in the sequence.

Announcements

- Read for next time Chap. 3.1-3.3
- Homework 3 due Tuesday
- We'll finish Chapter 2 first today

Specifying Algorithms

- Algorithms can be specified in English or in pseudocode.
- Pseudocode is an intermediate step between an English and coding using a programming language.
- Appendix 3 specifies pseudocode for this book (similar to Java)
- Pseudocode helps analyze the time required to solve a problem using an algorithm, independent of the actual programming language used to implement algorithm.

Properties of Algorithms

- *Input*: An algorithm has input values from a specified set.
- *Output*: From the input values, the algorithm produces the output values from a specified set. The output values are the solution.
- *Correctness*: An algorithm should produce the correct output values for each set of input values.
- *Finiteness*: An algorithm should produce the output after a finite number of steps for any input.
- *Effectiveness*: It must be possible to perform each step of the algorithm correctly and in a finite amount of time.
- *Generality*: The algorithm should work for all problems of the desired form.

Finding the Maximum Element in a Finite Sequence

• The algorithm in pseudocode:

procedure $max(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n: integers)$ $max := a_1$ **for** i := 2 to nif $max < a_i$ then $max := a_i$ return $max\{max \text{ is the largest element}\}$

• Does this algorithm have all the properties listed on the previous slide?

Problem

• Describe an algorithm that determines whether a function from a finite set of integers to another finite set of integers is onto.

Problem

- Describe an algorithm that determines whether a function from a finite set of integers to another finite set of integers is onto.
- A function f from A to B is "onto" iff for every element b there is an element a with f(a) = b.

Solution: Algorithm

Solution: Algorithm

- Assume A has n elements, B has m elements.
- Keep a count for each element in B, setting counts to 0.
- For each $a \in A$ compute f(a) = b and add one to b's count.
- If any b has a count of 0, then "not onto", otherwise "onto"

Some Example Algorithm Problems

- Three classes of problems will look at in this chapter
 - 1. Searching Problems: finding the position of a particular element in a list.
 - 2. *Sorting problems*: putting the elements of a list into increasing order.
 - 3. *Optimization Problems*: determining the optimal value (maximum or minimum) of a particular quantity over all possible inputs.



- *Optimization problems* minimize or maximize some parameter over all possible inputs.
- Examples:
 - Finding a route between two cities with the smallest total mileage.
 - Determining how to encode messages using the fewest possible bits.
- Solved using a *greedy algorithm*, which makes the "best" choice at each step. Making the "best choice" at each step does not necessarily produce an optimal solution to the overall problem, but in many instances, it does.
- Try to prove that this approach always produces an optimal solution, or find a counterexample to show that it does not.

Greedy Algorithms: Making Change 🤤



Example: Design a greedy algorithm for making change (in U.S. money) of n cents with the following coins: quarters (25 cents), dimes (10 cents), nickels (5 cents), and pennies (1 cent), using the least total number of coins.

Greedy Change-Making Algorithm

Solution: Greedy change-making algorithm for *n* cents. The algorithm works with any coin denominations $c_1, c_2, ..., c_r$.

procedure $change(c_1, c_2, ..., c_r:$ values of coins, where $c_1 > c_2 > ... > c_r$; *n*: a positive integer)

for i := 1 to r

```
d_i := 0 [d_i counts the coins of denomination c_i]
```

while $n \ge c_i$

 $d_i := d_i + 1$ [add a coin of denomination c_i]

$$n = n - c_i$$

 $[d_i \text{ counts the coins } c_i]$

- For the example of U.S. currency, we may have quarters, dimes, nickels and pennies, with $c_1 = 25$, $c_2 = 10$, $c_3 = 5$, and $c_4 = 1$.

Greedy Algorithms: Making Change

Example: Design a greedy algorithm for making change (in U.S. money) of n cents with the following coins: quarters (25 cents), dimes (10 cents), nickels (5 cents), and pennies (1 cent), using the least total number of coins.

Idea: At each step choose the coin with the largest possible value that does not exceed the amount of change left.

- 1. If n = 67 cents, first choose a quarter leaving 67-25 = 42 cents. Then choose another quarter leaving 42-25 = 17 cents
- 2. Then choose 1 dime, leaving 17 10 = 7 cents.
- 3. Choose 1 nickel, leaving 7 5 2 cents.
- 4. Choose a penny, leaving one cent. Choose another penny leaving 0 cents.

Proving Optimality for U.S. Coins

• Show that the change making algorithm for *U.S.* coins is optimal.

Lemma 1: If n is a positive integer, then n cents in change using quarters, dimes, nickels, and pennies, using the fewest coins possible has at most 2 dimes, 1 nickel, 4 pennies, and cannot have 2 dimes and a nickel. The total amount of change in dimes, nickels, and pennies must not exceed 24 cents.

Proof: By contradiction

Proving Optimality for U.S. Coins

• Show that the change making algorithm for *U.S.* coins is optimal. Lemma 1: If *n* is a positive integer, then *n* cents in change using quarters, dimes, nickels, and pennies, using the fewest coins possible has at most 2 dimes, 1 nickel, 4 pennies, and cannot have 2 dimes and a nickel. The total amount of change in dimes, nickels, and pennies must not exceed 24 cents.

Proof: By contradiction

- If we had 3 dimes, we could replace them with a quarter and a nickel.
- If we had 2 nickels, we could replace them with 1 dime.
- If we had 5 pennies, we could replace them with a nickel.
- If we had 2 dimes and 1 nickel, we could replace them with a quarter.
- The allowable combinations, have a maximum value of 24 cents; 2 dimes and 4 pennies.

Proving Optimality for U.S. Coins

Theorem: The greedy change-making algorithm for U.S. coins produces change using the fewest coins possible.

Proof: By contradiction.

- 1. Assume there is a positive integer n such that change can be made for n cents using quarters, dimes, nickels, and pennies, with a fewer total number of coins than given by the algorithm.
- 2. Then, $q' \leq q$ where q' is the number of quarters used in this optimal way and q is the number of quarters in the greedy algorithm's solution. But this is not possible by Lemma 1, since the value of the coins other than quarters can not be greater than 24 cents.
- 3. Similarly, by Lemma 1, the two algorithms must have the same number of dimes, nickels, and quarters.

Proving Optimality for U.S. Coins

Theorem: The greedy change-making algorithm for U.S. coins produces change using the fewest coins possible. **Proof**: By contradiction.

Greedy Change-Making Algorithm

- Optimality depends on the denominations available.
- For U.S. coins, optimality still holds if we add half dollar coins (50 cents) and dollar coins (100 cents).
- But if we allow only quarters (25 cents), dimes (10 cents), and pennies (1 cent), the algorithm no longer produces the minimum number of coins.
 - Give an example amount that it doesn't work for.

Greedy Change-Making Algorithm

- Optimality depends on the denominations available.
- For U.S. coins, optimality still holds if we add half dollar coins (50 cents) and dollar coins (100 cents).
- But if we allow only quarters (25 cents), dimes (10 cents), and pennies (1 cent), the algorithm no longer produces the minimum number of coins.
 - Give an example amount that it doesn't work for.
 - Consider the example of 31 cents. The optimal number of coins is 4, i.e., 3 dimes and 1 penny. What does the algorithm output?

Greedy Scheduling

• Picking the shortest talk doesn't work.



- Can you find a counterexample here?
- But picking the one that ends soonest does work. The algorithm is specified on the next page.

Greedy Scheduling

Example: We have a group of proposed talks with start and end times. Construct a greedy algorithm to schedule as many as possible in a lecture hall, under the following assumptions:

- When a talk starts, it continues till the end.
- No two talks can occur at the same time.
- A talk can begin at the same time that another ends.
- Once we have selected some of the talks, we cannot add a talk which is incompatible with those already selected because it overlaps at least one of these previously selected talks.
- How should we make the "best choice" at each step of the algorithm? That is, which talk do we pick ?
 - The talk that starts earliest among those compatible with already chosen talks?
 - The talk that is shortest among those already compatible?
 - The talk that ends earliest among those compatible with already chosen talks?

Greedy Scheduling algorithm

Solution: At each step, choose the talks with the earliest ending time among the talks compatible with those selected.

```
procedure schedule(s_1 \le s_2 \le ... \le s_n: start times, e_1 \le e_2 \le ... \le e_n: end times)
sort talks by finish time and reorder so that e_1 \le e_2 \le ... \le e_n
S := \emptyset
for j := 1 to n
if talk j is compatible with S then
S := S \emptyset \cup \{ \text{talk } j \}
return S [ S is the set of talks scheduled]
```

Halting Problem

Example: Can we develop a procedure that takes as input a computer program along with its input and determines whether the program will eventually halt with that input.

- Solution: Proof by contradiction.
- Assume that there is such a procedure and call it *H*(*P*,*I*). The procedure *H*(*P*,*I*) takes as input a program *P* and the input *I* to *P*.
 - H outputs "halt" if it is the case that *P* will stop when run with input *I*.
 - Otherwise, H outputs "loops forever."

Halting Problem

- Since a program is a string of characters, we can call *H*(*P*,*P*). Construct a procedure *K*(*P*), which works as follows.
 - If *H*(*P*,*P*) outputs "loops forever" then *K*(*P*) halts.
 - If H(P,P) outputs "halt" then K(P) goes into an infinite loop printing "ha" on each iteration.



Halting Problem

- Now we call K with K as input, i.e. K(K).
 - If the output of H(K,K) is "loops forever" then K(K) halts. A Contradiction.
 - If the output of H(K,K) is "halts" then K(K) loops forever. A Contradiction.
- Therefore, there can not be a procedure that can decide whether or not an arbitrary program halts. The halting problem is unsolvable.

Section Summary

- Big-O Notation
- Big-O Estimates for Important Functions
- Big-Omega and Big-Theta Notation



Paul Gustav Heinrich Bachmann (1837-1920)



Donald E. Knuth (Born 1938)

The Growth of Functions

- Want to know how fast a function grows
- Want to understand how quickly an algorithm can solve a problem as the size of the input grows
 - compare the efficiency of two different algorithms for solving the same problem.
 - determine whether it is practical to use a particular algorithm as the input grows.

Big-O Notation

Definition: Let *f* and *g* be functions from the set of integers or the set of real numbers to the set of real numbers. We say that f(x)is O(g(x)) if there are constants C and k such that

$$|f(x)| \le C|g(x)|$$

whenever x > k.

• This is read as "f(x) is big-O of g(x)" or "g asymptotically dominates f."

Illustration of Big-O Notation



Important Points about Big-O Notation

- If a pair C,k is found, then there are infinitely many pairs. We can always make the k or the C larger and still maintain the inequality $|f(x)| \le C|g(x)|$

 - Any pair C' and k' where C < C' and k < k' is also a valid pair since $|f(x)| \le C|g(x) \le C'|g(x)|$ whenever x > k' > k.

Don't use "f(x) = O(g(x))" instead of "f(x) is O(g(x))."

- It is ok to write $f(x) \in O(g(x))$, because O(g(x))represents the set of functions that are O(g(x)).

Using the Definition of Big-O Notation

Example: Show that $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$ is $O(x^2)$. Solution:

Using the Definition of Big-O Notation

Example: Show that $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$ is $O(x^2)$. Solution: Since when x > 1, $x < x^2$ and $1 < x^2$ $0 \le x^2 + 2x + 1 \le x^2 + 2x^2 + x^2 = 4x^2$

- Can take C = 4 and k = 1 to show that f(x) is $O(x^2)$
- Alternatively, when x > 2, we have $2x \le x^2$ and $1 < x^2$. Hence, $0 \le x^2 + 2x + 1 \le x^2 + x^2 + x^2 = 3x^2$ when x > 2.
 - Can take C = 3 and k = 2 instead.



Big-O Notation

- Both $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$ and $g(x) = x^2$ are such that f(x) is O(g(x)) and g(x) is O(f(x)). We say that the two functions are of the *same order*.
- If f(x) is O(g(x)) and h(x) is larger than g(x) for all positive real numbers, then f(x) is O(h(x)).
- Note that if $|f(x)| \le C|g(x)|$ for x > k and if |h(x)| > |g(x)|for all x, then $|f(x)| \le C|h(x)|$ if x > k. Hence, f(x) is O(h(x))
- For many applications, the goal is to select the function g(x) in O(g(x)) as small as possible (up to multiplication by a constant, of course).

Using the Definition of Big-*O* Notation **Example**: Show that $7x^2$ is $O(x^3)$.

Example: Show that n^2 is not O(n). Solution:

Big-O Estimates for Polynomials

Example: Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_o$ where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are real numbers with $a_n \neq 0$. Then f(x) is $O(x^n)$. Proof: $|f(x)| = |a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x^1 + a_1|$ $\leq |a_n|x^n + |a_{n-1}/x^{n-1} + \dots + |a_1|x^1 + |a_1|$ Assuming x > 1 $\leq x^n (|a_n| + |a_{n-1}/x + \dots + |a_1| + |a_1|)$ • Take $C = |a_n| + |a_{n-1}/x + \dots + |a_1| + |a_1|$ and k = 1. Then f(x)

• The leading term $a_n x^n$ of a polynomial dominates its growth.

is $O(x^n)$.

Using the Definition of Big-O Notation

Example: Show that $7x^2$ is $O(x^3)$. **Solution**: When x > 7, $7x^2 < x^3$. Take C = 1and k = 7 to establish that $7x^2$ is $O(x^3)$. (Would C = 7 and k = 1 work?) **Example**: Show that n^2 is not O(n). **Solution**: Suppose there are constants C and k for which $n^2 \le Cn$, whenever n > k. Then (by dividing both sides of $n^2 \le Cn$) by n, then $n \le C$ must hold for all n > k. A contradiction!

Big-O Estimates for some Important Functions

Example: Use big-*O* notation to estimate the sum of the first *n* positive integers.

Example: Use big-*O* notation to estimate the factorial function $f(n) = n! = 1 \times 2 \times \cdots \times n$.

Big-O Estimates for some Important Functions

Example: Use big-*O* notation to estimate the sum of the first *n* positive integers.

Solution: $1+2+\cdots+n \leq n+n+\cdots n = n^2$ $1+2+\ldots+n$ is $O(n^2)$ taking C = 1 and k = 1.

Example: Use big-*O* notation to estimate the factorial function $f(n) = n! = 1 \times 2 \times \cdots \times n$.

Solution:

 $n! = 1 \times 2 \times \dots \times n \leq n \times n \times \dots \times n = n^n$

```
n! is O(n^n) taking C = 1 and k = 1.
```

Continued \rightarrow

Big-O Estimates for some Important Functions

Example: Use big-*O* notation to estimate log *n*!

Solution: Given that $n! \le n^n$ (previous slide) then $\log(n!) \le n \cdot \log(n)$. Hence, $\log(n!)$ is $O(n \cdot \log(n))$ taking C = 1 and k = 1.

Big-O Estimates for some Important Functions

Example: Use big-*O* notation to estimate log *n*!

Display of Growth of Functions



Note the difference in behavior of functions as *n* gets larger

Useful Big-*O* Estimates Involving Logarithms, Powers, and Exponents

• If d > c > 1, then

 n^c is $O(n^d)$, but n^d is not $O(n^c)$.

- If b > 1 and *c* and *d* are positive, then $(\log_b n)^c$ is $O(n^d)$, but n^d is not $O((\log_b n)^c)$.
- If b > 1 and *d* is positive, then n^d is $O(b^n)$, but b^n is not $O(n^d)$.
- If c > b > 1, then

 b^n is $O(c^n)$, but c^n is not $O(b^n)$.

Combinations of Functions

- If $f_1(x)$ is $O(g_1(x))$ and $f_2(x)$ is $O(g_2(x))$ then $(f_1 + f_2)(x)$ is $O(\max(|g_1(x)|, |g_2(x)|))$.
 - By the definition of big-*O* notation, there are constants C_1, C_2, k_1, k_2 such that $|f_1(x)| \le C_1 |g_1(x)|$ when $x > k_1$ and $f_2(x) \le C_2 |g_2(x)|$ when $x > k_2$.
 - $|(f_1 + f_2)(x)| = |f_1(x) + f_2(x)|$
 - $\leq |f_1(x)| + |f_2(x)|$ by the triangle inequality $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$
 - $|f_1(x)| + |f_2(x)| \le C_1 |g_1(x)| + C_2 |g_2(x)|$
 - $\leq C_1 |g(x)| + C_2 |g(x)|$ where $g(x) = \max(|g_1(x)|, |g_2(x)|)$
 - $= (C_1 + C_2) |g(x)|$
 - = C/g(x) where $C = C_1 + C_2$
 - Therefore $|(f_1 + f_2)(x)| \le C/g(x)|$ whenever x > k, where $k = \max(k_1, k_2)$.

Combinations of Functions

- If $f_1(x)$ is $O(g_1(x))$ and $f_2(x)$ is $O(g_2(x))$ then $(f_1 + f_2)(x)$ is $O(\max(|g_1(x)|, |g_2(x)|))$.
 - See next slide for proof
- If $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are both O(g(x)) then $(f_1 + f_2)(x)$ is O(g(x)).
 - See text for argument
- If $f_1(x)$ is $O(g_1(x))$ and $f_2(x)$ is $O(g_2(x))$ then $(f_1f_2)(x)$ is $O(g_1(x)g_2(x))$.
 - See text for argument

Ordering Functions by Order of Growth

- Put the functions below in order so that each function is big-O of the next function on the list.
- $f_1(n) = (1.5)^n$
- $f_2(n) = 8n^3 + 17n^2 + 111$
- $f_3(n) = (\log n)^2$
- $f_4(n) = 2^n$
- $f_5(n) = \log(\log n)$
- $f_6(n) = n^2 (\log n)^3$
- $f_7(n) = 2^n (n^2 + 1)$
- $f_8(n) = n^3 + n(\log n)^2$
- $f_9(n) = 10000$
- $f_{10}(n) = n!$

Ordering Functions by Order of Growth

- Put the functions below in order so that each function is big-O of the next function on the list.
- $f_1(n) = (1.5)^n$
- $f_3(n) = (\log n)^2$
- $f_4(n) = 2^n$
- $f_5(n) = \log(\log n)$
- $f_6(n) = n^2 (\log n)^3$
- $f_7(n) = 2^n (n^2 + 1)$
- $f_8(n) = n^3 + n(\log n)^2$
- $f_{0}(n) = 10000$
- $f_{10}(n) = n!$

•	$f_1(n) = (1.5)^n$ $f_2(n) = 8n^3 + 17n^2 + 111$	We solve this exercise by successively finding the function that grows slowest among all those left on the list.
•	$f_3(n) = (\log n)^2$	• $f_g(n) = 10000$ (constant, does not increase with <i>n</i>)
•	$f_4(n) = 2^n$	• $f_5(n) = \log (\log n)$ (grows slowest of all the others)
•	$f_5(n) = \log(\log n)$	• $f_3(n) = (\log n)^2$ (grows next slowest)
•	$f_6(n) = n^2 (\log n)^3$	$\bullet f_6(n) = n^2 (\log n)^3$ (next largest, $(\log n)^3$ factor smaller than any power of n)
•	$f_7(n) = 2^n (n^2 + 1)$	• $f_2(n) = 8n^3 + 17n^2 + 111$ (tied with the one below)
•	$f_8(n) = n^3 + n(\log n)^2$	• $f_8(n) = n^3 + n(\log n)^2$ (tied with the one above)
•	$f_9(n) = 10000$	• $f_1(n) = (1.5)^n$ (next largest, an exponential function)
•	$f_{10}(n) = n!$	• $f_4(n) = 2^n$ (grows faster than one above since $2 > 1.5$)
		• $f_7(n) = 2^n (n^2 + 1)$ (grows faster than above because of the $n^2 + 1$ factor)

(n! grows faster than cⁿ for every c) $\bullet f_{10}(n) = 3^n$

Big-Omega Notation

Definition: Let *f* and *g* be functions from the set of integers or the set of real numbers to the set of real numbers. We say that

- f(x) is $\Omega(g(x))$ if there are constants C and k such that $|f(x)| \ge C|q(x)|$ when x > k.
- We say that "f(x) is big-Omega of g(x)."
- Big-O gives an upper bound on the growth of a function, while Big-Omega gives a lower bound.
- f(x) is $\Omega(g(x))$ if and only if g(x) is O(f(x)). This follows from the definitions.

 Ω is the upper case version of the lower case Greek letter ω .

Big-Omega Notation

Example : Show that		$f(x) = 8x^3 + 5x^2 + 7$
is	$\Omega(g(x))$	where $g(x) = x^3$.

Big-Omega Notation

 $f(x) = 8x^3 + 5x^2 + 7$ **Example**: Show that where $g(x) = x^3$. is $\Omega(g(x))$ **Solution:** $f(x) = 8x^3 + 5x^2 + 7 > 8x^3$ for all positive real numbers x.

- Is it also the case that $g(x) = x^3$ is $O(8x^3 + 5x^2 + 7)$

Big-Theta Notation

 Θ is the upper case version of the lower case Greek letter θ .

• **Definition**: Let f and g be functions from the set of integers or the set of real numbers to the set of real numbers. The function f(x) is $\Theta(g(x))$ if

f(x) is O(g(x)) and f(x) is $\Omega(g(x))$

- We say that "f is big-Theta of g(x)" and also that "f(x) is of *order* g(x)" and also that "f(x) and g(x) are of the *same order*."
- f(x) is $\Theta(g(x))$ if and only if there exists constants C_1, C_2 and k such that $C_1g(x) < f(x) < C_2g(x)$ if x > k. This follows from the definitions of big-O and big-Omega.

Big Theta Notation

Example: Show that the sum of the first *n* positive integers is $\Theta(n^2)$.

Solution: Let $f(n) = 1 + 2 + \dots + n$.

- We have already shown that f(n) is $O(n^2)$.
- To show that f(n) is $\Omega(n^2)$, we need a positive constant *C* such that $f(n) > Cn^2$ for sufficiently large *n*. Summing only the terms greater than n/2 we obtain the inequality

$$1 + 2 + \dots + n \ge \lceil n/2 \rceil + (\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) + \dots + n$$

$$\ge \lceil n/2 \rceil + \lceil n/2 \rceil + \dots + \lceil n/2 \rceil$$

$$= (n - \lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) \lceil n/2 \rceil$$

$$\ge (n/2)(n/2) = n^2/4$$

- Taking $C = \frac{1}{4}$, $f(n) > Cn^2$ for all positive integers *n*. Hence, f(n) is $\Omega(n^2)$, and we can conclude that f(n) is $\Theta(n^2)$.

Big-Theta Notation

Example: Show that $f(x) = 3x^2 + 8x \log x$ is $\Theta(x^2)$.

Solution:

Big-Theta Notation

Example: Show that $f(x) = 3x^2 + 8x \log x$ is $\Theta(x^2)$.

Solution:

• $3x^2 + 8x \log x \le 11x^2$ for x > 1, since $0 \le 8x \log x \le 8x^2$.

- Hence, $3x^2 + 8x \log x$ is $O(x^2)$.

- x^2 is clearly $O(3x^2 + 8x \log x)$
- Hence, $3x^2 + 8x \log x$ is $\Theta(x^2)$.

Big-Theta Notation

- When f(x) is Θ(g(x)) it must also be the case that g(x) is Θ(g(x)).
- Note that f(x) is Θ(g(x)) if and only if it is the case that f(x) is O(g(x)) and
 g(x) is O(f(x))

٠

Big-Theta Estimates for Polynomials

Theorem: Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_o$ where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are real numbers with $a_n \neq 0$. Then f(x) is of order x^n (or $\Theta(x^n)$). (The proof is an exercise.) **Example:** The polynomial $f(x) = 8x^5 + 5x^2 + 10$ is order of x^5 (or $\Theta(x^5)$). The polynomial $f(x) = 8x^{199} + 7x^{100} + x^{99} + 5x^2 + 25$ is order of x^{199} (or $\Theta(x^{199})$).

Big-Theta Estimates for Polynomials

Theorem: Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_o$ where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are real numbers with $a_n \neq 0$. Then f(x) is of order x^n (or $\Theta(x^n)$). (The proof is an exercise.) **Example:** The polynomial $f(x) = 8x^5 + 5x^2 + 10$ is order of The polynomial $f(x) = 8x^{199} + 7x^{100} + x^{99} + 5x^2 + 25$ is order of