

Methods for Transforming Grammars (Read Ch 6 in Linz Book)

We will consider CFL without λ . It would be easy to add λ to any grammar by adding a new start symbol S_0 ,

$$S_0 \rightarrow S \mid \lambda$$

Theorem (Substitution) Let G be a CFG. Suppose G contains

$$A \rightarrow x_1 B x_2$$

where A and B are different variables, and B has the productions

$$B \rightarrow y_1 | y_2 | \dots | y_n$$

Then can construct G' from G by deleting

$$A \rightarrow x_1 B x_2$$

from P and adding to it

$$A \rightarrow x_1 y_1 x_2 | x_1 y_2 x_2 | \dots | x_1 y_n x_2$$

Then, $L(G) = L(G')$.

Example:

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \rightarrow aBa & \text{becomes} \\ B \rightarrow aS \mid a \end{array}$$

Definition: A production of the form $A \rightarrow Ax$, $A \in V$, $x \in (V \cup T)^*$ is *left recursive*.

Example Previous expression grammar was left recursive.

$$\begin{aligned} E &\rightarrow E+T \mid T \\ T &\rightarrow T*F \mid F \\ F &\rightarrow I \mid (E) \\ I &\rightarrow a \mid b \end{aligned}$$

A top-down parser would want to derive the leftmost terminal as soon as possible. But in the left recursive grammar above, in order to derive a sentential form that has the leftmost terminal, we have to derive a sentential form that has other terminals in it.

Derivation of $a+b+a+a$ is:

$$E \Rightarrow E+T \Rightarrow E+T+T \Rightarrow E+T+T+T \xrightarrow{*} a+T+T+T$$

We will eliminate the left recursion so that we can derive a sentential form with the leftmost terminal and no other terminals.

Theorem (Removing Left recursion) Let $G=(V,T,S,P)$ be a CFG. Divide productions for variable A into left-recursive and non left-recursive productions:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow Ax_1 \mid Ax_2 \mid \dots \mid Ax_n \\ A &\rightarrow y_1|y_2|\dots|y_m \end{aligned}$$

where x_i, y_i are in $(V \cup T)^*$.

Then $G'=(V \cup \{Z\}, T, S, P')$ and P' replaces rules of form above by

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow y_i|y_iZ, i=1,2,\dots,m \\ Z &\rightarrow x_i|x_iZ, i=1,2,\dots,n \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$E \rightarrow E+T|T \quad \text{becomes}$$

$$T \rightarrow T*F|F \quad \text{becomes}$$

Now, Derivation of $a+b+a+a$ is:

Useless productions

$S \rightarrow aB \mid bA$
 $A \rightarrow aA$
 $B \rightarrow Sa$
 $C \rightarrow cBc \mid a$

What can you say about this grammar?

Theorem (useless productions) Let G be a CFG. Then $\exists G'$ that does not contain any useless variables or productions s.t. $L(G)=L(G')$.

To Remove Useless Productions:

Let $G=(V,T,S,P)$.

I. Compute $V_1=\{\text{Variables that can derive strings of terminals}\}$

1. $V_1=\emptyset$
2. Repeat until no more variables added
 - For every $A \in V$ with $A \rightarrow x_1x_2 \dots x_n$, $x_i \in (T^* \cup V_1)$, add A to V_1
3. $P_1 =$ all productions in P with symbols in $(V_1 \cup T)^*$

Then $G_1=(V_1,T,S,P_1)$ has no variables that can't derive strings.

II. Draw Variable Dependency Graph

For $A \rightarrow xBy$, draw $A \rightarrow B$.

Remove productions for V if there is no path from S to V in the dependency graph. Resulting Grammar G' is s.t. $L(G)=L(G')$ and G' has no useless productions.

Example:

$S \rightarrow aB \mid bA$
 $A \rightarrow aA$
 $B \rightarrow Sa \mid b$
 $C \rightarrow cBc \mid a$
 $D \rightarrow bCb$
 $E \rightarrow Aa \mid b$

Theorem (remove λ productions) Let G be a CFG with λ not in $L(G)$. Then \exists a CFG G' having no λ -productions s.t. $L(G)=L(G')$.

To Remove λ -productions

1. Let $V_n = \{A \mid \exists \text{ production } A \rightarrow \lambda\}$
2. Repeat until no more additions
 - if $B \rightarrow A_1 A_2 \dots A_m$ and $A_i \in V_n$ for all i , then put B in V_n
3. Construct G' with productions P' s.t.
 - If $A \rightarrow x_1 x_2 \dots x_m \in P$, $m \geq 1$, then put all productions formed when x_j is replaced by λ (for all $x_j \in V_n$) s.t. $|\text{rhs}| \geq 1$ into P' .

Example:

$S \rightarrow Ab$
 $A \rightarrow BCB \mid Aa$
 $B \rightarrow b \mid \lambda$
 $C \rightarrow cC \mid \lambda$

Definition Unit Production

$$A \rightarrow B$$

where $A, B \in V$.

Consider removing unit productions:

Suppose we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A \rightarrow B & & \text{becomes} \\ B \rightarrow a \mid ab & & \end{array}$$

But what if we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A \rightarrow B & & \text{becomes} \\ B \rightarrow C & & \\ C \rightarrow A & & \end{array}$$

Theorem (Remove unit productions) Let $G=(V,T,S,P)$ be a CFG without λ -productions. Then \exists CFG $G'=(V',T',S,P')$ that does not have any unit-productions and $L(G)=L(G')$.

To Remove Unit Productions:

1. Find for each A , all B s.t. $A \xRightarrow{*} B$ (Draw a dependency graph)
2. Construct $G'=(V',T',S,P')$ by
 - (a) Put all non-unit productions in P'
 - (b) For all $A \xRightarrow{*} B$ s.t. $B \rightarrow y_1 y_2 \dots y_n \in P'$, put $A \rightarrow y_1 y_2 \dots y_n \in P'$

Example:

$S \rightarrow AB$

$A \rightarrow B$

$B \rightarrow C \mid Bb$

$C \rightarrow A \mid c \mid Da$

$D \rightarrow A$

Theorem Let L be a CFL that does not contain λ . Then \exists a CFG for L that does not have any useless productions, λ -productions, or unit-productions.

Proof

1. Remove λ -productions
2. Remove unit-productions
3. Remove useless productions

Note order is very important. Removing λ -productions can create unit-productions! QED.

Definition: A CFG is in Chomsky Normal Form (CNF) if all productions are of the form

$$A \rightarrow BC \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow a$$

where $A, B, C \in V$ and $a \in T$.

Theorem: Any CFG G with λ not in $L(G)$ has an equivalent grammar in CNF.

Proof:

1. Remove λ -productions, unit productions, and useless productions.
2. For every rhs of length > 1 , replace each terminal x_i by a new variable C_j and add the production $C_j \rightarrow x_i$.
3. Replace every rhs of length > 2 by a series of productions, each with rhs of length 2. QED.

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow CBcd \\ B &\rightarrow b \\ C &\rightarrow Cc \mid e \end{aligned}$$

Definition: A CFG is in Greibach normal form (GNF) if all productions have the form

$$A \rightarrow ax$$

where $a \in T$ and $x \in V^*$

Theorem For every CFG G with λ not in $L(G)$, \exists a grammar in GNF.

Proof:

1. Rewrite grammar in CNF.
2. Relabel Variables A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n
3. Eliminate left recursion and use substitution to get all productions into the form:

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &\rightarrow A_j x_j, j > i \\ Z_i &\rightarrow A_j x_j, j \leq n \\ A_i &\rightarrow ax_i \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in T$, $x_i \in V^*$, and Z_i are new variables introduced for left recursion.

4. All productions with A_n are in the correct form, $A_n \rightarrow ax_n$. Use these productions as substitutions to get A_{n-1} productions in the correct form. Repeat with A_{n-2} , A_{n-3} , etc until all productions are in the correct form.