

Predicate Logic

Today's Menu

Predicate Logic

- Quantifiers: Universal and Existential
- Nesting of Quantifiers
- Applications

Limitations of Propositional Logic

- Suppose we have:
 - “All human beings are mortal.”
 - “Sachin is a human being.”
- Does it follow that “Sachin is mortal?”

Cannot be represented using propositional logic.

Need a language that talks about objects, their properties, and their relations.

Predicate Logic

Predicate logic uses the following new features:

- **Variables:** x, y, z which can be replaced by elements from their domain.
- **Predicates:** $P(x, y), M(x)$ are propositions with variables
- **Quantifiers:** for all, there exists

Example: $P(x, y): x = y + 3.$

$P(4, 1)$ is TRUE. $\neg P(4, 1)$ is FALSE.

$P(2, 1)$ is FALSE. $\neg P(2, 1)$ is TRUE.

Note: We talk about the truth value of a propositional function $P(x, y)$ when we assign values to x and y from their domains, e.g. setting $x = 4$ and $y = 1$ to obtain $P(4, 1)$ which is now a proposition.

Quantifiers

Domain of Discourse, U :

The domain of a variable in a propositional function.

Universal Quantification:

$P(x)$ is the proposition: “ $P(x)$ is true for all values of x in U .”

Universal Quantifier, “For all,” symbol: \forall

Written as: $\forall x P(x)$ which asserts $P(x)$ is true for all x in U .

Existential Quantification:

$P(x)$ is the proposition: “There exists an element x in U such that $P(x)$ is true.”

Existential Quantifier, “There exists,” symbol: \exists

Written as: $\exists x P(x)$ which asserts $P(x)$ is true for some x in U .

The truth value depends on the choice of U and $P(x)$.

Universal Quantifier \forall (similar to \wedge)

$\forall x P(x)$ is read as “For all x , $P(x)$ ” or “For every x , $P(x)$ ”

$\forall x P(x)$ Same as $P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \dots \wedge P(x_n) \wedge \dots$ for all x_i in U

Examples:

1. If $P(x)$ denotes “ x is an undergraduate student” and U is {Enorlled Students in COMPSCI 230}, then $\forall x P(x)$ is **TRUE**.
2. If $P(x)$ denotes “ $x > 0$ ” and U is the integers, then $\forall x P(x)$ is **FALSE**.
3. If $P(x)$ denotes “ $x > 0$ ” and U is the positive integers, then $\forall x P(x)$ is **TRUE**.
4. If $P(x)$ denotes “ x is even” and U is the integers, then $\forall x P(x)$ is **FALSE**.
5. If $P(x)$ denotes “ x is mortal” and U represents all human beings, then $\forall x P(x)$ is **TRUE**.

Existential Quantifier \exists (similar to \vee)

$\exists x P(x)$ is read as “there exists x , $P(x)$ ” or “For some x , $P(x)$ ” or “there exists at least one x such that $P(x)$ ”

$\exists x P(x)$ Same as $P(x_1) \vee P(x_2) \dots \vee P(x_n) \vee \dots$ for all x_i in U

Examples:

1. If $P(x)$ denotes “ x is a Duke student” and U is the set of all Enorlled Students in COMPSCI 230, then $\exists x P(x)$ is **TRUE**.
2. If $P(x)$ denotes “ $x = x + 1$ ” and U is the integers, then $\exists x P(x)$ is **FALSE**.
3. If $P(x)$ denotes “ $x = x * 2$ ” and U is the integers, then $\exists x P(x)$ is **TRUE**.
4. If $P(x)$ denotes “ x is a friend of Mickey mouse” and U is the cartoon characters, then $\exists x P(x)$ is **TRUE**. **Namely, Minnie mouse!**
5. If $P(x)$ denotes “ x is the oldest person in this room” and U is everyone present in the classroom now, then $\exists x P(x)$ is **TRUE**. **Namely, your instructor!**



Uniqueness Quantifier $\exists!$

How to express the following using quantifiers?

- “There is a unique x such that $P(x)$.”
- “There is one and only one x such that $P(x)$.”

Examples:

1. If $P(x)$ denotes “ $x + 1 = 0$ ” and U is the integers, then $\exists!x P(x)$ is **TRUE**.
2. But if $P(x)$ denotes “ $x > 0$,” then $\exists!x P(x)$ is **FALSE**.

The uniqueness quantifier is **not really needed** as the restriction that there is a unique x such that $P(x)$ can be expressed as:

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge \forall y (P(y) \rightarrow y = x))$$

Thinking about Quantifiers

- When the domain of discourse **U is finite**, we can think of quantification as **looping** through the elements of the domain.
- **To evaluate $\forall x P(x)$** loop through all x in the domain.
 - If **at every step** $P(x)$ is TRUE, then $\forall x P(x)$ is TRUE.
 - If at a step $P(x)$ is FALSE, then $\forall x P(x)$ is FALSE and the loop terminates.
- **To evaluate $\exists x P(x)$** loop through all x in the domain.
 - If **at some step**, $P(x)$ is TRUE, then $\exists x P(x)$ is TRUE and the loop terminates.
 - If the loop ends without finding an x for which $P(x)$ is TRUE, then $\exists x P(x)$ is FALSE.
- Even if the domains are infinite, we can still think of the quantifiers this fashion, but the loops will not terminate in some cases.

Precedence of Quantifiers

Operator	Precedence
$\forall \exists$	1
\neg	2
\wedge	3
\vee	4
\rightarrow	5
\leftrightarrow	6

The quantifiers \forall and \exists have higher precedence than all the logical operators.

Old Example Revisited

Our Old Example:

- Suppose we have:
 - “All human beings are mortal.”
 - “Sachin is a human being.”
- Does it follow that “Sachin is mortal?”

Solution:

- Let $H(x)$: “x is a human being.”
- Let $M(x)$: “x is mortal.”
- The domain of discourse U is all human beings.
- “All human beings are mortal.” translates to $\forall x (H(x) \rightarrow M(x))$
- “Sachin is a human being.” translates to $H(\text{Sachin})$
- Therefore, for $H(\text{Sachin}) \rightarrow M(\text{Sachin})$ to be true it must be the case that $M(\text{Sachin})$. Later we will show this formally.

Equivalences in Predicate Logic

- Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are logically equivalent if and only if they have the same truth value
 - for every predicate substituted into these statements and
 - for every domain of discourse used for the variables in the expressions.
- The notation $S \equiv T$ indicates that S and T are logically equivalent.
- **Example:** $\forall x \neg \neg S(x) \equiv \forall x S(x)$

Negating Quantified Expressions

TABLE 2 De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers.

<i>Negation</i>	<i>Equivalent Statement</i>	<i>When Is Negation True?</i>	<i>When False?</i>
$\neg \exists x P(x)$	$\forall x \neg P(x)$	For every x , $P(x)$ is false.	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.	$P(x)$ is true for every x .

Take home message: $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$
 $\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$

Example: “There is an honest politician.”

Let $H(x)$: “ x is honest.” U consists of all politicians. Then, $\exists x H(x)$.

“There does not exist an honest politician.” $\neg \exists x H(x)$.

$\neg \exists x H(x)$ is equivalent to $\forall x \neg H(x)$.

However, this statement has a different meaning:

“Not all politicians are honest.” How do you express this?

The Lewis Carroll Example

- Premises:

1. “All lions are fierce.”
2. “Some lions do not drink coffee.”

Conclusion: Can we conclude the following?

3. “Some fierce creatures do not drink coffee.”
- Let $L(x)$: “ x is a lion.” $F(x)$: “ x is fierce.” and $C(x)$: “ x drinks coffee.”
Then the above three propositions can be written as:
 1. $\forall x (L(x) \rightarrow F(x))$
 2. $\exists x (L(x) \wedge \neg C(x))$
 3. $\exists x (F(x) \wedge \neg C(x))$
 - **Later we’ll show how to conclude 3 from 1 and 2.**

Validity and Satisfiability

- An assertion involving predicates and quantifiers is **valid** if it is true
 - for all domains
 - for every propositional function substituted for the predicates in the assertion.

Example: $\forall x \neg S(x) \leftrightarrow \neg \exists x S(x)$

- An assertion involving predicates is **satisfiable** if it is true
 - for some domains
 - some propositional functions that can be substituted for the predicates in the assertion.

Otherwise it is **unsatisfiable**.

Example: $\forall x (F(x) \leftrightarrow T(x))$ **not valid but satisfiable**

Example: $\forall x (F(x) \wedge \neg F(x))$ **unsatisfiable**

- The **scope** of a quantifier is the part of an assertion in which variables are bound by the quantifier.

Nested Quantifiers

Example:

$$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 0)$$

U when TRUE

Z

U when FALSE

N

$$\forall x \forall y (xy = yx)$$

Z

alphabet

$$\exists x \forall y (xy = x(y + 1))$$

Z

\mathbb{Z}^+

$\exists x \exists y$ (x has name Mickey
and y has name Minnie)

Disney World

COMPSCI 230

Example: Let U be the real numbers, Define $P(x,y) : x/y = 1$

What is the truth value of the following:

1. $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$

Answer: FALSE

2. $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$

Answer: TRUE

3. $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$

Answer: FALSE

4. $\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$

Answer: TRUE

Quantifications of Two Variables

Statement	When True?	When False
$\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ $\forall y \forall x P(x, y)$	$P(x, y)$ is true for every pair x, y .	There is a pair x, y for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$	For every x there is a y for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	There is an x such that $P(x, y)$ is false for every y .
$\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$	There is an x for which $P(x, y)$ is true for every y .	For every x there is a y for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\exists y \exists x P(x, y)$	There is a pair x, y for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	$P(x, y)$ is false for every pair x, y

More Examples

Example 1: “Brothers are siblings.”

Solution: $\forall x \forall y (B(x,y) \rightarrow S(x,y))$

Example 2: “Siblinghood is symmetric.”

Solution: $\forall x \forall y (S(x,y) \rightarrow S(y,x))$

Example 3: “Everybody loves somebody.”

Solution: $\forall x \exists y L(x,y)$

Example 4: “There is someone who is loved by everyone.”

Solution: $\exists y \forall x L(x,y)$

Example 5: “There is someone who loves someone.”

Solution: $\exists x \exists y L(x,y)$

Example 6: “Everyone loves himself”

Solution: $\forall x L(x,x)$