Relational Database Design Theory

Introduction to Databases CompSci 316 Spring 2017

DUKE COMPUTER SCIENCE



• Homework #1 due Monday 02/06 (11:59 pm)





















An elegant solution: chase

- Given a set of FD's and MVD's D, does another dependency d (FD or MVD) follow from D?
- Procedure
 - Start with the premise of *d*, and treat them as "seed" tuples in a relation
 - Apply the given dependencies in D repeatedly
 If we apply an FD, we infer equality of two symbols
 If we apply an MVD, we infer more tuples
 - If we infer the conclusion of *d*, we have a proof
 - Otherwise, if nothing more can be inferred, we have a counterexample

Proof by chase • $\ln R(A, B, C, D)$, does $A \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow C$ imply that $A \rightarrow C$? Have: $A \xrightarrow{B} C \xrightarrow{D}$ $a \xrightarrow{b_1 c_1 d_1}$ $a \xrightarrow{b_2 c_2 d_2}$ Need: $A \xrightarrow{B} C \xrightarrow{D}$ $a \xrightarrow{b_1 c_2 d_1} \xrightarrow{v}$ $a \xrightarrow{b_2 c_1 d_2} \xrightarrow{v}$





4NF

- A relation *R* is in Fourth Normal Form (4NF) if
 - For every non-trivial MVD X → Y in R, X is a superkey
 That is, all FD's and MVD's follow from "key → other attributes" (i.e., no MVD's and no FD's besides key
 - functional dependencies)
- 4NF is stronger than BCNF
 - Because every FD is also a MVD
 - why?

4NF decomposition algorithm Find a 4NF violation A non-trivial MVD X → Y in R where X is not a superkey Decompose R into R₁ and R₂, where R₁ has attributes X ∪ Y R₂ has attributes X ∪ Z (where Z contains R attributes not in X or Y) Repeat until all relations are in 4NF Almost identical to BCNF decomposition algorithm

• Any decomposition on a 4NF violation is lossless





