

Lecture 8 Greedy Algorithms 2

Wednesday, February 5, 2020 2:12 PM

- Fractional Knapsack

$$W = 5 \quad 3 \text{ items} \quad w_1 = 1 \quad v_1 = 2$$

$$v_1/w_1 = 2$$

$$w_2 = 2 \quad v_2 = 3$$

$$v_2/w_2 = 1.5$$

$$w_3 = 3 \quad v_3 = 4$$

$$v_3/w_3 = \frac{4}{3}$$

solution for fractional knapsack

algorithm: select the item with $\max v_i/w_i$:

put this item into the knapsack until

① run out of this item $P_i = 1$

② run out of capacity

repeat until no more items to add.

$$P_1 = 1 \quad \text{remaining capacity } W = 4$$

$$P_2 = 1 \quad \text{remaining capacity } W = 2$$

$$P_3 = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\text{total value} = 1 \times 2 + 1 \times 3 + \frac{2}{3} \times 4 = \frac{23}{3}$$

running time: $O(n \log n)$

- Proof of correctness:

assume towards contradiction that ALG is not optimal,

there must exist an instance of fractional knapsack

n items, $\{(w_i, v_i)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$, capacity W

without loss of generality $\frac{v_1}{w_1} \geq \frac{v_2}{w_2} \geq \dots \geq \frac{v_n}{w_n}$

further observe if for two items $\frac{v_i}{w_i} = \frac{v_j}{w_j}$, can merge

these two items into one item with value $v_i + v_j$, weight $w_i + w_j$

$$v_1 = 2 \quad w_1 = 1 \quad v_2 = 4 \quad w_2 = 2$$

$$V_1 = 2 \quad w_1 = 1 \quad V_2 = 4 \quad w_2 = 2$$

$\underbrace{\qquad\qquad}_{V=6} \quad \underbrace{\qquad\qquad}_{w=3}$

after merging all items with same ratio, can assume

$$\frac{V_1}{w_1} > \frac{V_2}{w_2} > \frac{V_3}{w_3} > \dots > \frac{V_m}{w_m}$$

let alg's solution be (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m)

let opt be

$$(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m)$$

if alg is incorrect,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m P_i V_i < \sum_{i=1}^m q_i V_i$$

Value of ALG Value of OPT

let l be the first coordinate where

$$P_l \neq q_l$$

by design of alg, we know $P_l > q_l$

$$\sum_{i=1}^l P_i V_i > \sum_{i=1}^l q_i V_i$$

there must be a coordinate $h > l$ s.t. $q_h > P_h \geq 0$

(idea: swap item h with item l)

let ε be a small enough number

$$\text{total weight} + \underline{w_l \cdot \varepsilon} \quad q'_l \leftarrow q_l + \varepsilon \quad (\text{Putting more item } l)$$

$$\text{total weight} - \underline{w_h \cdot \varepsilon} \quad q'_h \leftarrow q_h - \frac{w_l \cdot \varepsilon}{w_h} \quad (\text{Putting less item } h)$$

$$\text{let } q'_j = q_j \text{ for } j \neq l, h$$

$$\text{for solution } q' \quad \sum_{i=1}^m q'_i w_i = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i w_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m q'_i V_i = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i V_i + \overbrace{\varepsilon V_l}^{\substack{\text{extravalue} \\ \text{from item } l}} - \overbrace{\frac{w_l \cdot \varepsilon}{w_h} \cdot V_h}^{\substack{\text{Value to lose} \\ \text{from item } h}}$$

$$1 \quad \dots \quad w_l \quad \dots \quad w_h \quad \dots \quad w_m$$

by assumption

from item l

Value to lose
from item h

$$\frac{V_l}{W_l} \textcircled{>} \frac{V_h}{W_h}$$

$$\sum V_i > \frac{W_l}{W_h} \cdot V_h \cdot \sum$$

$$> \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \cdot V_i$$

value of q' is even better than the value of OP_l
this is a contradiction.

therefore alg is optimal. \square

- Horn-SAT

- different clauses

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \underline{x_1 \wedge x_4 \Rightarrow x_2}$$

x_1	x_4	x_2	
T	T	T	✓
T	T	F	✗
T	F	T	✓
T	F	F	✓
.	.	.	✓

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x_1$$

x_1	
T	✓
F	✗

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \overline{x_1} \vee \overline{x_3}$$

x_1	x_3	
T	T	✗
T	F	✗
F	T	✓
F	F	✓